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**Ab initio** based polarizable force field generation and application to liquid silica and magnesia
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We extend the program *potfit*, which generates effective atomic interaction potentials from *ab initio* data, to electrostatic interactions and induced dipoles. The potential parametrization algorithm uses the Wolf direct, pairwise summation method with spherical truncation. The polarizability of oxygen atoms is modeled with the Tangney-Scandolo interatomic force field approach. Due to the Wolf summation, the computational effort in simulation scales linearly in the number of particles, despite the presence of electrostatic interactions. Thus, this model allows to perform large-scale molecular dynamics simulations of metal oxides with realistic potentials. Details of the implementation are given, and the generation of potentials for SiO2 and MgO is demonstrated. The approach is validated by simulations of microstructural, thermodynamic, and vibrational properties of liquid silica and magnesia. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3668603]

I. INTRODUCTION

As oxides are important in many technological applications, they have been object of many experimental investigations1–3 as well as of theoretical calculations4–8. Despite continuous advances, *ab initio* methods cannot yet tackle the study of various material properties due to length and time scale restrictions. Classical effective potentials greatly increase the accessible system sizes and times; the quality of such simulations, however, depends crucially on the interaction models used. In this work, we describe a method to derive effective potentials for oxides in large-scale simulations.

Both force field generation and simulation of oxide systems are computationally much more demanding than those of metals or covalent materials due to long-range electrostatic interactions. There have been many attempts to create effective interaction potentials for oxides in the last decades. We combine two methods that have been applied successfully: First, in addition to charges we regard higher moments. It has been shown for silica9, 10 that polarization effects of the oxygen atoms have to be taken into account and yield adequate results. The potential model of Tangney and Scandolo5 (TS) determines the dipole moments by iteration to a self-consistent solution. This polarizable ion model yields significantly better results for many properties compared to other approaches.10 Second, long-range interactions must be handled correctly and efficiently in molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. There are several methods with the one of Ewald11 as the most famous. In a recent study for silica12 linear scaling in the number of particles could be achieved by using the Wolf summation method13 without significant loss of accuracy. By optimizing the damping and truncation of the long-ranged potential while maintaining energy conservation, simulations can be performed at a comparatively small force-field cutoff radius of 8 Å.

The program *potfit*14, 15 generates an effective atomic interaction force field with the force matching method,16 i.e., by adjusting the potential parameters to optimally reproduce a set of reference data computed in first-principles calculations. We have extended the program to electrostatic interactions and implemented the TS model with Wolf summation in the optimization algorithm. In this way, it is now possible to generate force fields for arbitrary metal oxides and use them in MD simulations, notably in the MD code IMD17, 18 where the TS model and Wolf summation were already implemented.12 The entire approach and the implementation in *potfit* are described in Sec. II.

This potential generation method was applied to reparametrize the original TS force field for liquid silica (SiO2). Because of its technological significance and its high abundance in nature,19 silica has been thoroughly investigated experimentally,1, 20 by using *ab initio* calculations4–5, 21 and in simulations with empirical interaction potentials.6, 9, 22–24 Hence silica is an ideal test case for the new potential generation. Furthermore, a force field for liquid magnesia is generated. Magnesia (MgO) also is one of the most important metal oxides. Not only is it one of the simpler oxides (making it a frequently studied test system), it is also both ubiquitous and of technological importance. Hence there have been many experimental2–3, 25–26 and theoretical7, 8, 27–29 studies. By generating a force field for magnesia, we expand the combined use of the TS model and Wolf summation and demonstrate its power beyond silica.

We determine basic microstructural, thermodynamic, and vibrational properties for silica and magnesia in comparison to experimental and first principles results and confirm the quality of this new force field approach by error estimations.
The results are shown in Secs. III and IV, respectively. In Sec. V, we sum up our results and give an outlook.

II. FORCE FIELD

A. Tangney-Scandolo potential model

The TS potential contains two contributions: a short-range pair potential of Morse-Stretch (MS) form and a long-range part, which describes the electrostatic interactions between charges and induced dipoles on the oxygen atoms. The MS interaction between an atom of type $i$ and an atom of type $j$ has the form

$$U_{ij}^{MS} = D_{ij} \left[ \exp \left( \gamma_{ij} \left( 1 - \frac{r_{ij}}{r_{ij}^0} \right) \right) - 2 \exp \left( \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{r_{ij}}{r_{ij}^0} \right) \right) \right],$$

(1)

with $r_{ij} = |r_i - r_j|$, $r_{ij} = r_j - r_i$, and the model parameters $D_{ij}$, $\gamma_{ij}$, and $r_{ij}^0$, which have to be optimized.

The dipole moments depend on the local electric field of the surrounding charges and dipoles. Hence a self-consistent iterative solution has to be found. In the TS approach, a dipole moment $\mathbf{p}_i$ at position $r_i$ in iteration step $n$ consists of an induced part due to an electric field $E(r_i)$ and a short-range part $\mathbf{p}_i^{SR}$ due to the short-range interactions between charges $q_i$ and $q_j$. Following Rowley et al.,\textsuperscript{29} this contribution is given by

$$\mathbf{p}_i^{SR} = \alpha_i \sum_{j \neq i} q_j \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}}{r_{ij}^3} f_{ij}(r_{ij}),$$

(2)

with

$$f_{ij}(r_{ij}) = c_{ij} \sum_{k=0}^{4} \frac{b_{ij}(r_{ij})^k}{k!} e^{-b_{ij}/r_{ij}}.$$  

(3)

Here, $f_{ij}(r_{ij})$ was introduced ad hoc to account for multipole effects of nearest neighbors and is a function of very short range. $b_{ij}$ is the reciprocal of the length scale over which the short-range interaction comes into play, $c_{ij}$ determines amplitude and sign of this contribution to the induced moment. Together with the induced part, one obtains

$$\mathbf{p}_i^n = \alpha_i E(r_i; \{p_{j}^{n-1}\}_{j=1,N}, \{r_j\}_{j=1,N}) + \mathbf{p}_i^{SR},$$

(4)

where $\alpha_i$ is the polarizability of atom $i$ and $E(r_i)$ the electric field at position $r_i$, which is determined by the dipole moments $\mathbf{p}_j$ in the previous iteration step. Considering the interactions between charges $U^{QQ}$, between dipole moments $U^{PP}$, and between a charge and a dipole $U^{QP}$, the total electrostatic contribution is given by

$$U^{EL} = U^{QQ} + U^{PP} + U^{QP},$$

(5)

and the total interaction is

$$U^{tot} = U^{MS} + U^{EL}.$$  

(6)

B. Wolf summation

The TS potential consists of pairwise interactions only. The electrostatic energies of a condensed system are then described by functions with $r^{-n}$ dependence, $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. For point charges ($r^{-1}$) it is common to apply the Ewald method, where the total Coulomb energy of a set of $N$ ions,

$$E^{QQ} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}},$$

(7)

is decomposed in two terms $E_{\infty}^{QQ}$ and $E_k^{QQ}$ by inserting a unity of the form $1 = \text{erf}(kr) + \text{erf}(kr)$ with the error function

$$\text{erf}(kr) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{kr} dt e^{-t^2}.$$  

(8)

The splitting parameter $\kappa$ controls the distribution of energy contributions between the two terms. The short-ranged erf term is summed up directly, while the smooth erf term is Fourier transformed and evaluated in reciprocal space. This restricts the technique to periodic systems. However, the main disadvantage is the scaling of the computational effort with the number of particles in the simulation box, which increases as $O(N^{3/2})$,\textsuperscript{30} even for the optimal choice of $\kappa$.

Wolf et al.\textsuperscript{13} designed a method with linear scaling properties $O(N)$ for Coulomb interactions. By taking into account the physical properties of the system, the reciprocal-space term $E_k^{QQ}$ is disregarded. It can be written as

$$E_k^{QQ} = \frac{2\pi}{V} \sum_{k \neq 0} S(k) \frac{\exp \left( -\frac{|k|^2}{4\kappa r^2} \right)}{|k|^2},$$

(9)

where

$$S(k) = \left| \sum_j q_j \exp(i k \cdot r_j) \right|^2$$

(10)

is the charge structure factor. $V$ is the volume of the simulation box. The charge structure factor is the Fourier transform of the charge-charge autocorrelation function. In liquid systems and largely also in solids, the charges screen each other like in a cold dense plasma. This means that for small magnitudes of the wave vectors $k$, the charge structure factor is also small. In a previous study, we showed\textsuperscript{12} that the reciprocal-space term is indeed negligible compared to the real-space part, provided that the splitting parameter $\kappa$ is chosen small enough. As, however, a smaller $\kappa$ results in a larger real-space cutoff $r_c$, the latter has to be chosen two or three times the size of a typical short-range cutoff radius in metals. So a compromise has to be found for MD simulations: On the one hand $\kappa$ has to be small to allow disregarding the reciprocal-space term, on the other hand it should not be too small to avoid an oversized cutoff radius.

In addition, a continuous and smooth cutoff of the remaining screened Coulomb potential $\tilde{E}^{QQ}(r_{ij}) = q_i q_j \text{erf}(\kappa r_{ij}) r_{ij}^{-1}$ is adopted at $r_c$ by shifting the potential so that it goes to zero smoothly in the first two derivatives at $r = r_c$. We use the Wolf method for charges and its extension to dipolar interactions. For more information about the Wolf summation of dipole contributions and a detailed analysis of the energy conservation in MD simulations, see Ref. 12.
C. Wolf dipole error estimation

To confirm the validity of the Wolf summation for the dipole contributions, it has to be analyzed whether the dipolar reciprocal-space term can also be neglected. This approximation is justified in a system with no significant long-range dipole-correlations like in ferroelectrics. The reciprocal-space term of the total energy of \( N \) dipole moments \( p_i \) can be written – analogously to Eq. (9) – as

\[
E_k^{pp} = \frac{2\pi N e^2}{V} \sum_{k \neq 0}^\infty k' Q(k) \exp\left(-\frac{|k|^2}{k^2}\right),
\]

where \( e \) is the elementary charge and \( Q(k) \) is the dipole structure factor

\[
Q(k) := \frac{1}{N e^2} \sum_{i,j}^N p_i \otimes p_j \exp(i k \cdot r_{ij}),
\]

with the normalization factor \( 1/\sqrt{N e^2} \). In each system of interest, the reciprocal-space term has to be negligible compared to the real-space contribution, which can be checked by evaluating the dipole structure factor.

D. Implementation in potfit

The program potfit generates an effective atomic interaction force field solely from \textit{ab initio} reference structures. The potential parameters are optimized by matching the resulting forces, energies, and stresses to \textit{ab initio} values with the force matching method. All reference structures used in this study were prepared with the plane wave code \textsc{vasp}.\cite{vasp1,vasp2} For \( N_m \) particles, reference configuration \( m \) provides one energy \( e_m^0 \), six components of the stress tensor \( s_{m,l}^0 \) (\( l = 1, 2, \ldots, 6 \)) and \( 3N_m \) total force cartesian components \( f_{m,n}^0 \) (\( n = 1, 2, \ldots, 3N_m \)) on \( N_m \) atoms. The function

\[
Z = w_e Z_e + w_s Z_s + Z_f
\]

is minimized with respect to the charges, the parameters of the MS interaction Eq. (1) and the dipolar contribution Eqs. (2) and (4). Here,

\[
Z_e = 3 \sum_{m=1}^M N_m (e_m - e_m^0)^2,
\]

\[
Z_s = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{l=1}^6 N_m (s_{m,l} - s_{m,l}^0)^2,
\]

\[
Z_f = \sum_{m=1}^M 3N_m \sum_{n=1}^3 \left(f_{m,n} - f_{m,n}^0\right)^2,
\]

and \( e_m, s_{m,i}, \) and \( f_{m,n} \) are the corresponding values calculated with the parametrized force field. \( w_e \) and \( w_s \) are certain weights to balance the different amount of available data for each quantity. In the following we assume \( M \) reference structures that all consist of the same number of particles \( (N_m = N) \), but in principle, potfit can handle different numbers of particles for each reference structure. The root mean square (rms) errors,

\[
\Delta F_e = \sqrt{\frac{Z_e}{3MN}}, \quad \Delta F_s = \sqrt{\frac{2Z_s}{MN}}, \quad \Delta F_f = \sqrt{\frac{Z_f}{MN}},
\]

are first indicators of the quality of the generated force field. Their magnitudes are independent of weighting factors, numbers, and sizes of reference structures. For the minimization of the potential parameters, a combination of a stochastic simulated annealing algorithm\cite{sa} and a conjugate-gradient-like deterministic algorithm\cite{cg} is used.

We have extended the repertory of interactions in potfit by implementing a long-range electrostatic pair-force-routine including the TS force field with Wolf summation. Earlier we had also implemented it into the MD code \textsc{imd},\cite{imd} so that potential generation and simulation can now be used successively. potfit is parallelized using the standard message passing interface (MPI)\cite{mpi} by distributing the reference configurations on the processors. The whole method is not limited to diatomic metal oxides, but can treat any oxide.

Potfit now accepts the TS force field parameters as values to be optimized. The MS potential Eq. (1) is defined by three parameters for each pair of interaction partners \( ij \). Including the charges \( q_i \), the polarizability \( \alpha \) of the oxygen atom and the parameters \( b_{ij} \) and \( c_{ij} \) (Eqs. (2)–(4)), which only differ from zero in the case \( i \neq j \), there are 14 parameters for a binary oxide. The requirement of charge neutrality reduces the number of free parameters by one. Thus, there are 13 parameters to optimize.

III. APPLICATION TO SILICA

A. Parametrization

The new potential generation method has first been applied to liquid silica. We prepared five MD trajectories using the Wolf summed TS force field without reparametrization.\cite{vs} These constant-temperature runs were performed at five different temperatures between 2000 and 4000 K. In addition, the volume was slightly lowered to prepare different pressure conditions. Then we took several snapshots following 10 ps of equilibration. In this way, we prepared 47 liquid reference structures with an average 109 atoms, altogether 5123 atoms. The reference structures show a pressure spectrum from zero up to 15 GPa. They are used as input configurations for the first-principles plane wave code \textsc{vasp}.\cite{vasp1,vasp2} where PAW pseudopotentials\cite{paw} and a generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of the exchange-correlation functional were used. With the local-density approximation (LDA) exchange-correlation functional, the well-known underestimation of the volume yielded clear deviations from experimental data. The approach with GGA and the same reference structures, however, did not overestimate the volume in MD simulations. Using ultrasoft pseudopotentials to generate a reference database had no noticeable influence on the results.

The weights in potfit were chosen to \( w_e = 0.1 \) and \( w_s = 0.5 \), which is consistent with comparable optimization approaches.\cite{vs,vs2} The resulting parameters, however, remained rather unaffected by modification of the weights. By contrast,
TABLE I. Force field parameters for silica, given in IMD units set eV, Å, and amu (hence charges are multiples of the elementary charge).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$q_{\text{Si}}$</th>
<th>$q_{\text{O}}$</th>
<th>$a_{\text{O}}$</th>
<th>$b_{\text{Si}-\text{O}}$</th>
<th>$c_{\text{Si}-\text{O}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.860 032</td>
<td>−0.930 016</td>
<td>0.022 689</td>
<td>4.434 517</td>
<td>−31.525 678</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$D_{\text{Si-Si}}$ $D_{\text{Si-O}}$ $D_{\text{O-O}}$  
0.000 004 0.100 108 0.028 596

$\gamma_{\text{Si-Si}}$ $\gamma_{\text{Si-O}}$ $\gamma_{\text{O-O}}$  
19.841 872 11.598 884 8.808 762

$r_{\text{Si-Si}}^0$ $r_{\text{Si-O}}^0$ $r_{\text{O-O}}^0$  
5.400 713 2.066 695 3.742 815

the splitting parameter $\kappa$ had to be optimized as described in Sec. II B. Setting $\kappa = 0.02$ Å$^{-1}$, a cutoff radius of only 8 Å was found to be sufficient, which is small compared to other6,12,37 long-range potential approaches and results in an additional speedup in simulations. For comparison only, the procedure was repeated with a cutoff radius of 10 Å. The obtained results were quite similar. As the computational effort scales with $r_3$ cut, simulations with a cutoff radius of 8 Å are about two times faster than the same settings with a cutoff radius of 10 Å (see Fig. 5). It has to be mentioned that $\kappa = 0.02$ Å$^{-1}$ describes a relatively weak damping. This identifies the good native screening ability of liquid silica.

The final set of parameters is shown in Table I. The rms errors are $\Delta F_e = 0.1922$, $\Delta F_s = 0.0341$, and $\Delta F_f = 1.6211$.

B. Wolf dipole error estimation

To analyze reciprocal-space contributions we simulated liquid silica with 4896 atoms at 3100 K and averaged several observables over the full simulation time of 200 ps. The total dipole moment is $p = 3.47 \times 10^{-28}$ Cm, which is small compared to a fully polarized system and thus can be taken as a fluctuation. Furthermore, we calculated the dipole structure factor and the resulting reciprocal-space contribution of the total energy, given in Eq. (11). For the selected damping of $\kappa = 0.02$ Å$^{-1}$ we get

$$\frac{1}{N} E_{\text{“}}^\text{pp} = 0.09 \mu\text{eV},$$

whereas the real-space contribution of the total energy per atom is

$$\frac{1}{N} E_{\text{r}}^\text{tot} = 7.20 \text{ eV}.$$

Hence, the reciprocal-space term is indeed insignificant and can be neglected.

C. Results

We validated the potential by determining thermodynamic, microstructural, and vibrational properties of silica. The goal is to cover different temperature and pressure scenarios in order to show the transferability of the new potential. The following simulations were all performed with the same initial configuration consisting of 4896 atoms (1632 Si and 3264 O).

In Fig. 1, we show the equation of state of liquid silica at 3100 K calculated with the new potential. Pressures were obtained as averages along constant-volume MD runs of 10 ps following 10 ps of equilibration. For comparison, experimental data20 and first principles results using VASP (Ref. 4) are illustrated. The equation of state calculated with the new potential coincides with ab initio and experimental results.

The radial distribution functions for Si–Si, Si–O, and O–O at 3000 K with volume/SiO$_2$ $V_{\text{SiO}_2} = 45.80$ Å$^3$ are evaluated for 100 snapshots taken out of a 100 ps MD run. The averaged curves are given in Fig. 2. Results calculated with the new potential are in accurate agreement with ab initio calculations.

FIG. 1. Equation of state of liquid silica at 3100 K for the new potential compared with experiment20 and ab initio calculations.4

FIG. 2. Radial distribution functions for Si–Si, Si–O, and O–O at 3000 K compared with ab initio calculations.4
data. The Si–Si and O–O curves are slightly shifted to larger distances.

The Si–O–Si angle distribution was determined from 300 MD runs at 2370 K and zero pressure. The averaged result is compared with an ab initio MD study and is depicted in Fig. 3. As discussed in Ref. 5, ab initio MD tends to shift the angle distribution of liquid silica to slightly smaller angles with respect to the distribution generated by empirical MD simulations.

The new force field was also applied to simulations of amorphous silica, although its parameters were only optimized with liquid reference structures between 2000 and 4000 K. For this purpose, the liquid initial structure was cooled down to 300 K at an annealing rate of 0.01 K/fs, which is recommended by Vollmayr et al. and also used in Ref. 6. Using different annealing rates, however, had no significant impact on the results. The partial vibrational density of states (VDOS) $G_{\text{Si}}(E)$ ($G_{\text{O}}(E)$) for silicon (oxygen) was obtained by computing the Fourier transform of the time-dependent velocity-velocity autocorrelation function from a 100 ps MD trajectory with the software package nMOLDYN. The generalized VDOS $G(E)$ is then calculated by

$$G(E) = \sum_{\mu=\text{Si},\text{O}} \frac{\sigma_\mu}{m_\mu} G_\mu(E)$$

with the scattering cross section $\sigma_\mu$ and atomic mass $m_\mu$ of atom $\mu$. Fig. 4 shows the partial and generalized VDOS compared with an ab initio study from Ref. 21. The curves were adjusted in order to display the three main bands of each curve at similar frequencies. To achieve this, a global, constant relative frequency scaling for the MD curves, $\omega = (1 + \gamma)\omega'$, where $\omega'$ is the original eigenfrequency, $\omega$ is the scaled frequency, and $\gamma$ is the constant relative frequency scaling. For silica we find the optimal $\gamma = 0.3$.

As a final test, we used the force field for MD studies of $\alpha$-quartz, one of the most important low-pressure crystal structures of SiO$_2$. This is a very hard test for the transferability of the potential, considering liquid structures between 2000 and 4000 K were used as reference data. In Table II, we compare the lattice parameters, Si–O bond length, and the Si–O–Si angle to analytical values. The force field overestimates the equilibrium volume; the lattice parameters are too large by on an average 2.8%. In a MD simulation at 300 K over 10 ns, our force field stabilized the $\alpha$-quartz structure. This shows that the new potential does yield reasonable results even under conditions it was not optimized for.

![Graph showing Si-O-Si angle distribution](image1.png)

**Fig. 3.** Oxygen centered angle distribution in liquid silica at 2370 K for the new potential compared with an ab initio MD study.

![Graph showing VDOS](image2.png)

**Fig. 4.** VDOS of amorphous silica at 300 K calculated with the new potential compared with an ab initio MD study. (a) Partial VDOS for silicon atoms, (b) partial VDOS for oxygen atoms, and (c) generalized VDOS.

| Table II. Lattice parameters, Si–O bond length and Si–O–Si angle of $\alpha$-quartz compared with theoretical studies. |
|---|---|---|---|
| $a$ (Å) | $c$ (Å) | Si–O (Å) | Si–O–Si (°) |
| New potential | 5.15 | 5.50 | 1.65 | 148.5 |
| Theory | 4.97 (Ref. 40) | 5.39 (Ref. 40) | 1.61 (Ref. 41) | 145 (Ref. 41) |
IV. APPLICATION TO MAGNESIA

A. Parametrization and error estimation

We simulated systems with up to $2.5 \times 10^6$ atoms in order to probe the linear scaling properties of the model in IMD. To judge the performance of the Wolf summation, the CPU cost of simulating a system of point charges was compared to the P3M (Ref. 42) (particle-particle/particle-mesh) method, as implemented in the MD code ESPResSo.43 In P3M, the charges associated with the smooth erf term (see Sec. II B) are transferred to a lattice, where then the Poisson equation is solved. The CPU time per time step and atom is shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen clearly that the computational effort with Wolf summation scales perfectly linear in our implementation. For smaller systems (fewer than about 80 000 particles), ESPResSo is faster, but as the systems become larger, the $O(N \log N)$ scaling of P3M loses to the linear scaling of Wolf. As a reference, we also show the CPU cost of the Ewald method in IMD. All calculations were performed on a single 2.83 GHz Intel Nehalem CPU. The computational cost per atom of the TS model is independent of system size; compared to coulombic charges, the TS model in Wolf summation is slower by a factor of 2.6. This shows that in general, the number of steps in the self-consistency loop is independent of system size.

IV. APPLICATION TO MAGNESIA

A. Parametrization and error estimation

To generate the reference structure database for liquid magnesia, we used snapshots from a MD trajectory with an ad hoc potential. We prepared 80 liquid reference structures with on average 242 atoms, in total 19 360 atoms. The reference forces, stresses, and energies were computed with VASP using PAW pseudopotentials and in the GGA approximation. From these, we obtained an intermediate TS potential, which then was again used to generate a new MD trajectory and then a new set of reference structures. This procedure was iterated until there was no further significant change in the potential parameters. The pressure spectrum of the final reference structure database is between 0 and 15 GPa, while the temperature varies between 2000 and 5000 K, to account for the higher melting point of magnesia.

The weights in potfit again were set to $w_e = 0.1$ and $w_r = 0.5$. A cutoff radius of 8 Å was sufficient when choosing $\kappa = 0.1$ Å$^{-1}$. The final set of parameters is shown in Table III. The rms errors are $\Delta F_e = 0.1170$, $\Delta F_r = 0.0228$, and $\Delta F_f = 0.5295$.

The following values for the Wolf dipole error estimation were again obtained by averaging over a simulation time of 200 ps. In liquid magnesia with 5832 atoms at 5000 K, the total dipole moment is $\rho = 4.76 \times 10^{-33}$ Cm, which is even smaller than that of silica. Also the reciprocal-space term is again really insignificant. For $\kappa = 0.1$ Å$^{-1}$ we get

$$\frac{1}{N} E_{\text{pot}} = 0.15 \, \mu eV. \quad (20)$$

whereas the real-space contribution of the total energy per atom is

$$\frac{1}{N} E_{\text{tot}} = 6.30 \, eV. \quad (21)$$

B. Results

The new potential is validated by determining thermo-dynamic and microstructural properties of liquid magnesia. We also investigated the transferability of the new potential beyond the optimized temperature range by modelling the most important crystal structure periclase (NaCl-type). The following simulations were all performed with the same initial configuration consisting of 5832 atoms (2916 Mg and 2916 O).

The equation of state, obtained as in silica, is shown in Fig. 6. Due to the high melting point of magnesia we chose a temperature of 5000 K. The result is compared with a first-principles study using VASP.7 Although the new potential is optimized with a reference database having a pressure
In Fig. 7 the radial distribution function for Mg–Mg (which is very similar to the O–O function) and Mg–O is depicted at 3000 K with volume/MgO \( V_{\text{MgO}} = 27.76 \, \text{Å}^3 \). Our results coincide precisely with \emph{ab initio} data.\(^7\)

The Mg–O–Mg angle distribution was determined from several MD runs at \( V_{\text{MgO}} = 33.99 \, \text{Å}^3 \) and three different temperatures 3000 K, 4000 K, and 5000 K (see Fig. 8). The curves look similar, they show a maximum at 100°. So the interatomic angles in the magnesia melt are about 10° greater than in periclase. Both distribution studies follow from an averaging in the same way as for silica.

Finally, we applied the new force field to simulations of periclase at 300 K, although its parameters were only optimized with liquid reference structures between 2000 and 5000 K. The lattice constant is in good agreement with recent \emph{ab initio} and experimental studies, as presented in Table IV.

In the same way as for silica, we obtained the generalized VDOS of periclase. Fig. 9 shows a comparison with \emph{ab initio} calculations\(^44\) and an experimental\(^45\) study. A frequency scaling of only \( \gamma = 0.03 \) is applied. The new potential is able to qualitatively reproduce the key features, but there are two weak points: First, the main peak at around 53 meV is shifted to lower frequencies by around 10 meV. Second, the peak at 36 meV – originating from the partial VDOS for oxygen – is only weakly reproduced. In summary, the new force can give only qualitative results in this temperature range, for which it was not optimized.

Not surprisingly, the scaling properties of simulations of magnesia are the same as those of silica: The computational effort scales linear in the number of particles. Also, the TS model is slower than constant charges by a factor independent of system size.

### Table IV. Lattice constant \( a \) [Å] of periclase determined with the new potential compared to \emph{ab initio} and experimental studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( a ) [Å]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New potential</td>
<td>4.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. (Ref. 2)</td>
<td>4.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. (Ref. 3)</td>
<td>4.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\emph{Ab initio} (GGA) (Ref. 8)</td>
<td>4.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\emph{Ab initio} (LDA) (Ref. 27)</td>
<td>4.240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In comparison to former simulation approaches with long-range interactions, we present force fields with minimal cutoff radius. This results in faster simulations. The short cutoff shows that liquid silica and magnesia can be adequately described by the Wolf approximation.

We hope that our new force field generation approach enhances the investigation of condensed oxide systems, which could not yet be adequately investigated with MD simulations, due to large system sizes or boundary condition restrictions.
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V. CONCLUSION

In this work, we presented the extension of the program potfit to electrostatic interactions. It can be used to generate a force field for any condensed oxygen system by force matching to \textit{ab initio} reference data. The system of interest only has to have an electrostatic screening disposition to apply Wolf summation. We have demonstrated that the approach using the TS potential model yields accurate results for liquid silica and magnesia. Probing solid phases, which were not included in the reference database during the force field optimization, shows that qualitative studies are possible; quantitative analyses, due to large system sizes or boundary condition restrictions, should be done carefully.

A new force field for crystalline \(\alpha\)-alumina is currently in research\cite{Pasquarello1998} using the methods introduced in the present publication. In this process many crystalline structures are included in the reference database for optimizing the potential parameters. This yields a highly accurate description of crystalline properties.

The TS potential is a pure pair term potential. Hence simulations are less expensive compared to other approaches with many-body potentials like a three-body interaction approach. Nevertheless the many-body character of the electrostatics is included in the self-consistent dipole iteration approach. Due to the Wolf summation, we achieve linear scaling in the number of particles, which makes it possible to investigate larger system sizes and time scales.

Generating a force field for liquid silica is an ideal test case for the new approach, because there are several recent theoretical studies\cite{Horbach1999, Peckham1967, Hazen1976, Rong2003, Tangney2005, Vashishta2005} using the TS potential model. Beyond silica, we have presented a new force field for liquid magnesium, which yields generally accurate results. Our work shows that a crude starting potential suffices to determine high-quality potentials, which makes the new force field approach applicable for other systems of interest.
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\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{VDOStest.png}
\caption{Generalized VDOs of periclase at 300 K calculated with the new potential compared with \textit{ab initio} calculations\cite{Brommer2005} and an experimental study\cite{Kermode2010}.
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